



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)  
DWW Feb-06

ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE  
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101  
ELLICOTT CITY MD 21043

**COPY MAILED**

**FEB 16 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Chuang et al. :  
Application No. 09/987,513 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: 15 November, 2001 :  
Attorney Docket No. MR2349-719 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 25 January, 2006,  
under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified  
application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 14 January, 2003, for failure  
to timely file a proper reply to the final Office action mailed  
on 13 September, 2002, which set a three (3) month shortened  
statutory period for reply. On 9 July, 2003 (postcard date 31

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay  
in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a  
lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37  
CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application  
abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing  
application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995,  
and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a  
request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent,  
abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply  
must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application,  
abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the  
publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for  
the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.  
The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay  
was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to  
37 CFR 1.137(c)).

December, 2002), an amendment after final rejection and request for a one (1) month extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were filed. However, the examiner determined that the amendment did not *prima facie* place the application in condition for allowance. An Advisory Action was mailed on 10 July, 2003. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 18 July, 2003.

Petitioner has filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee as the required reply, and indicated that the previously filed amendment after final rejection is the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay.<sup>2</sup> In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that petitioner herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be mailed. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2834 for further processing.

---

<sup>2</sup> See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1208 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997).

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

cc: Steven M. Rabin  
Rabin & Berdo, P.C.  
1101 14<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 500  
Washington DC 20005